

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, \* CR 19-40015  
\*  
Plaintiff, \*  
\*  
\*  
vs. \* ORDER  
\*  
PAUL ERICKSON, \*  
\*  
\*  
Defendant. \*  
\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Pending before the Court is Defendant Paul Erickson's Motion for Continuance, Doc. 18, requesting a sixty (60) day continuance of the plea deadline and jury trial. The Government has no objection to the continuance request. After consideration of the file in this matter, the Court finds that failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence and further that failure to grant the continuance would deny the public interest in bringing this case to trial in an orderly fashion. Further, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by continuing this trial outweigh the best interests of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. For all of those reasons as well as those set forth in the Motion,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That Defendant Paul Erickson's Motion for Continuance, Doc. 18, requesting a sixty (60) day continuance of the plea deadline and jury trial is granted, and a new Scheduling Order will be entered.
2. That the period of delay resulting from such continuance is excluded in computing the time within which the trial of the offense for the Defendant must commence, as the Court finds that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interests of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

Dated this 1st day of July, 2019.

BY THE COURT:

Lawrence L. Piersol

Lawrence L. Piersol  
United States District Judge

ATTEST:

MATTHEW W. THELEN, CLERK

Matthew Thelen